

Innovative continuous odour monitoring during gasworks remediation

Advances in Contaminated Land Assessment and Remediation University of Sheffield 03 March 2023

Barry Roberts

Delivering a better world



Overview

- 01 The site
- 02 The project
- 03 Development context, opportunities and challenges
- 04 Environmental monitoring and mitigation plan
- 05 Odour monitoring and mitigation
- 06 Lessons learned



The Site



8.4 Ha site in East London.

Reclaimed land from 1804.

Former gasworks from late 1870s.

Legacy soil and groundwater contamination, incl.:

- tar
- foul lime
- spent oxide
- asbestos





POPLAR WORKS-FROM THE AIR.

The Project



Remediate entire site 2,800 residential dwellings 1 ha public park Retail / commercial space School

Remediation ~14 months Construction ~20 years





Development context, opportunities & challenges



Residential dwellings on three site boundaries.

Regulator challenges / pressure.

Community scrutiny and some 'citizen science'.

COVID-19 pandemic and lockdowns.

Developer's desire for innovative practice.

Use of sub-surface gasholder as stabilisation work area.



Environmental monitoring and management plan

Two continuous dust monitors (reporting PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$)

Passive diffusion sampling for nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), hydrogen sulphide (H₂S), ammonia (NH₃) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs)

Short-term active sampling for VOCs

Audits with continuous logging photo-ionisation detector (detecting to ppb range)

Regular odour 'sniff' tests at nearby off-site locations

Analysis of 1,351 air samples for total fibres using Phase Contrast Optical Microscopy and a further 195 air samples for asbestos fibres using Scanning Electron Microscopy



Developer challenge to evaluate additional techniques capable of continuous odour monitoring that could be deployed at the site

Literature review \rightarrow capability for quantitative reporting of odour unit concentration (OU/m³)











Mitigation Process Flows

- Part of the regulator-approved plan
- Exceedance of Amber Alert Level
- Exceedance of Red Action Level

Note 1. Within this context, 'quickly' refers to being deployed and effective within 30 minutes.

Note 2. Within this context, a maximum duration of 45 minutes in total is inferred from the alert being issued to the measures being implemented and effective if on-site works identified as the source of the exceedance (i.e. up to 30 minutes for deployment of measures after a maximum of 15 minutes of review).

Note 3. Should there be two or more exceedances in a day attributable to the same on-site activity/source, it is indicative that mitigation measures implemented were inadequate. Additional or more intensive measures must be put in place (and documented as such) by the Remediation Contractor and approved by the St William Site Manager prior to re-commencing the activity. A precautionary approach shall be taken, whereby if the cause of an exceedance cannot be clearly attributed to off-site (non-project) activities, then it shall be presumed that the cause shall be project-related activities.

Zero Calibration

Low Range Calibration

High Range Calibration

| 3 | | М | SEM Control and Dat | a Log Interface | M32M 00.6V | | × | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------------|------------|--|-----------------|----------------|-----|---------------------|-------|------------------|------------------|---------------|----------|--|---------------------|
| Duit | Cun Da | rent ta | Alarm Threshold | Alarm Status | | | | | | | | | | |
| туос | 0.0010 | mg/m3 | 20 | | Wind Speed | | | | | | | | | |
| H2S | 0.0000 | ppm | 1 | | Wind Direction | n | | | | | | | | |
| NH3 | 0.0399 | 10 | Enter Cal | ibration Data | - 🗆 🗙 | | | | | | | | | |
| SH | 0.0000 | | Jpdate Odor Units | • | | itv | | | | | | | | |
| 011 | 0.1054 | | Jpdate Odor ID. Data Date (MMDDYY) | 121020 | 1 | y | | | | | | | | |
| C2H4 | 0.1054 | | Data Time (HHMMSS) | 124438 | | ure | | 1 | | | | | | |
| ODOR UNI | TS 0.0000 | ((| Odor Value (0-2000) Odor ID class (1-5) | 304 | | s | Strip Chart Rescale | | | | | - | | 1000 |
| 3. | | | | | | | Data Log Report | | | | | Sensigen | it 👂 | 17.5 |
| | | L | .og Data Present? Seita Time (seconds) | Yes | | | Enter Calibration | | | | | 1 | | ŧ. |
| (mpin f2) | | | Direct local | Arran | Class | | User Configuration | | | Ę | | | | |
| (OU) | | | Consider a direct | Acodu | SH | | Zero Air | | | | - | MSEM | Environmental Monitor www.sensigent.com | Sain |
| : | | | | | - (ppm) | | | | | | | | | dia. |
| · · | | | | | _ (ppm) | | 482 | ECT | | | | | | |
| Current | | E | lapsed Time | | | | 102 | | | | | | A A | , X |
| Date/Time | 02/05/21 18 | \$:14:59 | Status 10 | 0 % Stat | us NO FAUL | ,T | Mode Auto | | | | - | | Jan Barris | a transfer a law at |
| | | | | | | | | 1 ANT | | the second | | | | filmaine and |
| | | | | | | | | | | | Resolution of | | Armo | |
| | | | | | | | | | Increased in the | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | Rep. | 5-7 | mil | - | | |
| | | | | | | | | | - Contraction | and and a second | 10000 | | | |
| | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 0 | | THE REAL | |

and the second

AND A DAILY

Lessons Learned





New / innovative solutions can come with teething problems

- DIY (instruments, connections, mounting)
- User friendliness of interface
- Flexibility of data handling/processing
- Alert communications limitations
- Portable doesn't necessarily mean portable!
- Tech support time difference
- Service and manufacturer calibrations



Lessons Learned



Effectiveness of using gasholder as 'container' to control odours

Odour monitor interferences

Value of effective public/ stakeholder engagement

Cost-effective, with real environmental and social benefits





Thank you.

Barry Roberts Technical Director - Air Quality & Permitting 0774-1859-005 barry.roberts@aecom.com

Delivering a better world



